Reply to Office Action of Mar. 20, 2007

No. 0428 P. 8. Docket Inc. AB-1034 US Ref. No. LW6001 US/SJ

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above amendment and the following remarks are in reply to the Office action of 03/20/2007. In light of this reply, reconsideration and further examination of this application are respectfully requested.

Twenty-three claims were pending in this application. In the above amendment, 11 claims (2-4, 6-8, 10 and 14-17) were cancelled, 3 claims (1, 5 and 18) were amended, and none was added. Accordingly, 12 claims (1, 5, 9, 11-13 and 18-23) are presented for reconsideration and further examination.

In <u>section 2</u> of the Office action, the Examiner noted that withdrawn claims 2-4, 6-8 and 14-17 include features drawn to non-elected species and suggested that they be cancelled. Responsively, these claims were cancelled in the above amendment.

In section 4, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 5, 9-13, and 18-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun et al (USPN: 5,835,139), hereinafter Yun, in view of Murai (USPN: 5,986,726), hereinafter Murai, and further in view of Williamson et al. (USPN: 5,475,381), hereinafter Williamson.

In light of the above amendments to independent claims 1, 5 and 18 and the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is now moot.

In particular, the Examiner asserts that, "the combination of Yun and Murai discloses all the claimed limitations except for the central processing unit comprised in the information processing unit. However, as may be seen in Figs. 1-2, Williamson discloses ... an information processing module ... including a central processing unit"

However, Williamson (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,381) merely discloses that the information processing module includes a "microcontroller 56" (equated to the central processing unit of the present invention by the Examiner), and does not disclose where the microcontroller is disposed. In contrast, the present invention includes an information processing module "mounted on (or attached to) a rear surface of the mold frame and disposed in a receiving space formed by the mold frame and the chassis becoming gradually thinner," as particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed in amended independent claims 1, 5 and 18. Thus, the combination of Yun (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,139), Murai (U.S. Patent No. 5,986,726) and Williamson does not teach or suggest the features of the present invention as claimed in claims 1, 5 and 18.

Jul. 20. 2007 11:07AM MacPherson, Kwok, Chen & Heid App. Sci. No. 09/021,825

Amendment dated July 20, 2007

Reply to Office Action of Mar. 20, 2007

No. 0428 P. 9 Docket no. AB-1034 US Ref. No. LW6001 US/SJ

In light of the foregoing reply, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1, 5, 9, 11-13 and 18-23 are allowable over the art of record. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If there are any questions regarding this Reply, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number indicated below.

Certification of Pacsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Tuly (2)

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Don C. Lawrence Applicant's attorney

Reg. No. 31,975

Tel.: (949) 752-7040